

University of Stuttgart Institute of Industrial Automation and Software Engineering



Synthetic training data creation for supervised fine-tuning of large language models for autonomous production planning and control

Jize Zhang

Supervisor: Yuchen Xia Examiner : Prof. Michael Weyrich



Operating a complicated automation equipment?



Lower using complexity?

Solution: using natural language commands to interact with autonomous systems Previous Research

Task: inspect the steel sheet

Success] AAS server connected!!				
Success ANS Server connected!				
Managed Resources				
active AASs: 3 √		AAS		
InspectionStation			,	
TransportRobot		AAS Server		
PaintingStation				
Production planning with GP	τ.			
Vhat problem should I solve?				

[1] Y. Xia, M. Shenoy, N. Jazdi and M. Weyrich, "Towards autonomous system: flexible modular production system enhanced with large language model agents," in 2023 IEEE 28th International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA), 2023.

Solution: using natural language commands to interact with autonomous systems Previous Research

Event Log



LLM Generated Commands



[2] Y. Xia, J. Zhang, N. Jazdi and M. Weyrich, **Incorporating Large Language Models into Production Systems for Enhanced Task Automation and Flexibility**, arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.08550, 2024.

Motivation Problem Statement



- data security
- network reliability
- accuracy / performance

- \rightarrow development with local models
- \rightarrow improve performance

Synthetic training data creation for supervised fine-tuning of large language models for autonomous production planning and control

Outline

- Domain-specific Tasks of production planning and control
- Creation of Domain-specific synthetic **Dataset** for fine-tuning
- Fine-tuning and Testing

Domain-specific Tasks

Domain-specific synthetic Dataset for fine-tuning Fine-tuning and Testing

Domain-specific Tasks

Agents and Tasks

Tasks

- Quality Inspection task (Island I)
- Machining process task (Island II)
- Painting process task (Island III)
- Transport task (Transport Robot)
- Coordination task (Coordinator)



Definition: Agent: A (software) component that is responsible for a specific sub-task	γ Agents

Multi-Agent System Design

Conceptual System Design



Multi-Agent System Design

Preliminary Data Interpretation and Control



Data Interpretation and Commands



one event log-command pair



Domain-specific Tasks

Domain-specific synthetic Dataset for fine-tuning

Fine-tuning and Testing

Example: Workpiece at the Branch





Actuator Failure



Unexpected event

Events

Types

[Island I] [22:04:28] TF81 reads information from the workpiece. The RFID tag on the workpiece is authorized.

Normal operation

[Island I] [22:04:28] TF81 reads information from the workpiece. The RFID tag on the workpiece is authorized.
[Island I] [22:04:28] Branch fails to divert. [Island I] [22:04:28] TF81 reads information from the workpiece. The RFID tag on the workpiece is authorized.
[Island I] [22:04:28] Island I called function: branch_divert()
[Island I] [22:04:30] Branch was directed straight.

Command

call branch_divert()

•

call alert_to_supervisor ('branch failure')



Types of test samples



Overview and Statistic

• Overview:

- 120 test cases in total, 24 test cases for each agent
- Task distribution

Normal operation (70 samples, 62%)

Error handling(50 samples, 38%)

- Usage of the dataset
 - Fine-tuning
 - Evaluation (before and after fine-tuning)





Dataset Usage 2: Fine-tuning and Testing

Fine-tuning methods

Fine-tuning methods

- SFT (Supervised Fine-Tuning)
 - training the model on labeled datasets with human-provided examples to improve its performance on specific tasks
- RLHF (Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback)
 - refines LLMs by using human feedback to guide the model towards generating more desirable outputs through a reinforcement learning process, optimizing its responses based on reward signals.

• OPENAI

- proprietary
- Llama3
 - Full-parameter SFT

Dataset Usage 3: Evaluation after Fine-tuning

Different Test Strategies

LLM input	Generated output	Correct output
[00:05:51] CNC processing is finished. [00:05:51] 'Island II' calls function unload_workpiece(). [00:05:52] Failed to unload the workpiece.	Unload_workpiece()	Alert_to_supervisor ('failed to unload the workpiece')

- · how well a model performs on specific tasks after fine-tuning
- potential problems like Catastrophic Forgetting and Overfitting ------ K-Fold fine-tuning
 - · "forgets" how to perform previously learned
 - too tailored to the fine-tuning data and fails to generalize well to unseen or slightly varied inputs
- Transfer Learning ability Partly fine-tuning
 - apply knowledge learned from one task or dataset to another, potentially related, task without extensive additional training

Three different fine-tuning methods

1 Wholly fine-tuning

2 K-Fold fine-tuning

3 Partly fine-tuning

Fine-tuning and Testing

Wholly fine-tuned



Performance comparison

Wholly fine-tuned



Both GPT 3.5 and Llama3-70B have gained much improvement after the fine-tuning.

Effectiveness validated

However: overfitting?

Three different strategies

1 Wholly fine-tuning

2 K-Fold fine-tuning

3 Partly fine-tuning

Fine-tuning and Testing

K-Fold fine-tuned

Advantages:

- Maximal utilization of limited dataset
- Test data was not used for fine-tuning



Performance comparison

K-fold fine-tuned





Improved? Worse?

Command Effective

Performance comparison

K-Fold fine-tuned

GPT 3.5 (OpenAI proprietary method)





Llama3-70B (full fine-tuning)

Both GPT 3.5 and Llama3-70B have gained some improvement after the fine-tuning.

The test points that get worse are neglectable

no overfitting

Three different strategies

1 Wholly fine-tuning

2 K-Fold fine-tuning

3 Partly fine-tuning

Transfer Learning ability across different tasks

Partly fine-tuned

data from tasks of the agent Island I and II



hierarchical task content-similarity with Island I & II:

- Island III: highly similar procedures
- Transport Robot: different procedures, yet same format of output
- Task Coordinator: different procedures and different format of output

Performance comparison

Partly fine-tuned



Island III

gained **much** improvement after fine-tuning because of **highly similar procedures**

Transport Robot

gained **no** improvement after fine-tuning because **different procedures**

no catastrophic forgetting

Task Coordinator

gained **some** improvement after fine-tuning, possibly due to the **learned knowledge about the automation procedures**, which is **helpful** for plan coordination

Transfer learning ability depends heavily on task content similarity!

Conclusion and Future Work

Conclusion

Fine-tuning effectiveness ?	Effective to enhance the performance		
Problems like catastrophic forgetting and overfitting?	Neglectable		
Transfer learning ability ?	validated but highly depends on the content-similarity of tasks		

Future Work

- extend this study's fine-tuning methodologies to other operational contexts
- improve the simulation environment
- explore other methods of function calling



University of Stuttgart Institut of Industrial Automation and Software Engineering

Thank you!

Jize Zhang

e-mail st171260@stud.uni-stuttgart.de

University of Stuttgart



Example in K-Fold fine-tuning

[11:48:45] TF81 reads information from the workpiece. Information for machining process is retrieved: [{"material": "titanium" },

[11:48:48] BG51 detects a workpiece at stopper S2 on conveyor C1, indicating that the workpiece arrives at the CNC station.

[11:48:50] Island II calls function: load_workpiece().

- [11:48:52] The workpiece is loaded into CNC machine.
- [11:48:56] Island II calls function: choose_tool('drill').
- [11:48:58] PG51 detects the tool at initial position.
- [11:49:00] Island II calls function: start_spindle(1200), apply_coolant('pulsed'). Temperature: 50
- [11:49:02] The spindle starts to rotate.
- [11:49:02] The coolant is applied.
- [11:49:04] PG52 detects the correct placement of the workpiece.
- [11:49:05] Island II calls function: start_cutting(1.0, 12).
- [11:50:05] PG54 reads temperature 50°C.

[11:48:45] TF81 reads information from the workpiece. Information for machining process is retrieved: [{"material" "aluminium" },

[11:48:48] BG51 detects a workpiece at stopper S2 on conveyor C1, indicating that the workpiece arrives at the CNC station.

[11:48:50] Island II calls function: load_workpiece().

[11:48:52] The workpiece is loaded into CNC machine.

[11:48:56] Island II calls function: choose_tool('drill').

- [11:48:58] PG51 detects the tool at initial position.
- [11:49:00] Island II calls function: start_spindle(1200), apply_coolant('pulsed').

[11:49:02] The spindle starts to rotate.

[11:49:02] The coolant is applied.

- [11:49:04] PG52 detects the correct placement of the workpiece.
- [11:49:05] Island II calls function: start_cutting(1.0, 12).

[11:50:05] PG54 reads temperature 50°C.

- worse --- > fine-granular domain-specific
- fail to deal with these details
- chain-of-thought

Ti



A

>45 → continuous

Modelling from physical to text



Modelling from physical to text

• 3. signal-actuator-level activity

Chain_n	sensor signal change	→	command functions (actuator operation)	\rightarrow	sensor signal change
	(((●)))				(((•)))



Modelling from physical to text



Manager Performance Baseline



Attachment - Temperature setting

Determinism



For each test cases, 5 tests were executed







Prompt design



Dataset

System Prompt	User Prompt (event log input)	Assistant (label)
 Goal and task Context Notes 	[00:05:51] CNC processing is finished. [00:05:51] 'Island II' calls function unload workpiece().	Command : alert_to_supervisor ('Failed to unload the workpiece')
- Instructions	[00:05:52] Failed to unload the workpiece.	Reason:

Prompt design

Prompt for Island I:

Goal and task:

You are 'Island I', an agent in an automation system responsible for the quality inspection of workpieces and managing material transport on conveyors within 'Island I'



Prompt design

Notes:

1. Each change of sensor signal will be recorded in the event log.

2. Respond using the functions specified above.

3. When mutiple functions need to be called, they are enclosed in [] in SOP. Do not leave out functions.

4. Output your response in JSON format, providing a simple, short reason for your action.

Instructions:

You will observe an event log in the input section and you shall generate your response in the output section.

You should follow the following input and output pattern to generate a response in JSON format and give the reason to your action, keep the reason simple and short.

Input: // An event log will be given here. Output: {"command":"function_()", "reason":"reason_for_action"} Now, you should generate a response based on the event log:

Simulation

[Island I] [22:04:24] BG56 detects a workpiece at the infeed of conveyor C1. [Island I] [22:04:25] Island I calls function: C1_run('forward', 13) [Island I] [22:04:25] A workpiece passes BG56. [Island I] [22:04:28] TF81 reads information from the workpiece. The RFID tag on the workpiece is authorized. [Robotino] [22:04:29] PG73 receieves request from Island I to transport workpieces. [Island I] [22:04:29] Island I calls function: branch_divert(), robot_request('Island I', 'in') [Robotino] [22:04:30] Robotino calls function: navigate_to('Island I', 'in') [Island I] [22:04:30] Branch is already set to divert the workpieces. [Island I] [22:04:30] BG51 detects a workpiece at stopper S2 on conveyor C1. [Island I] [22:04:31] Island I calls function: S2_release(), C1_run('forward', 8) [Island I] [22:04:31] stopper S2 is realeased. [Island I] [22:04:32] A workpiece passes BG51. [Island I] [22:04:33] stopper S2 is raised. [Island I] [22:04:33] BG26 detects a workpiece at the infeed of the conveyor C2. [Island I] [22:04:35] Island I calls function: C2_run('forward', 13) [Island I] [22:04:35] A workpiece passes BG26. [Island I] [22:04:35] BG21 detects a workpiece at stopper S1 on conveyor C2. [Island I] [22:04:36] Island I calls function: S1_release(), C4_run('forward', 8) [Robotino] [22:04:42] Robotino has docked with Island I. [Robotino] [22:04:43] Robotino calls function: load_workpiece() [Island I] [22:04:36] stopper S1 is realeased. [Island I] [22:04:37] A workpiece passes BG21. [Island I] [22:04:38] stopper S1 is raised. [Island I] [22:04:41] BG42 detects a workpiece at the infeed of conveyor C4. [Island I] [22:04:43] Robotino has docked with Island I. [Island I] [22:04:45] Island I calls function: no_action() [Robotino] [22:04:45] PG71 confirms the workpiece is securely loaded. [Robotino] [22:04:46] Robotino calls function: read_info() [Robotino] [22:04:46] The next destination is retrieved: Island II. [Robotino] [22:04:46] Robotino calls function: navigate to('Island II', 'out') [Robotino] [22:04:58] Robotino has docked with Island II. [Island II] [22:04:58] Robotino has docked with Island II. [Island II] [22:04:59] Island II calls function: C3_run('forward', 8), branch divert() [Robotino] [22:04:59] Robotino calls function: unload_workpiece() [Robotino] [22:05:01] The workpiece is unloaded. [Island II] [22:05:01] Robotino unloads the workpiece. [Island II] [22:05:01] BG41 detects a workpiece at stopper S3. [Island II] [22:05:02] Branch is already set to divert the workpieces. [Robotino] [22:05:02] Robotino calls function: navigate_to('base', None) [Island II] [22:05:03] Island II calls function: S3_release(), C2_run('forward', 8) [Island II] [22:05:03] stopper S3 is realeased. [Island II] [22:05:05] A workpiece passes BG41. [Island II] [22:05:06] stopper S3 is raised.